

St. Bonaventure University

Fall 2023, ENG 101 WRITING I Section 7 Face to Face

Instructor: King, Matt (Primary)



There were: 19 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	King Avg	ENG Avg	School Avg	SBU Avg	Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		
1	Student learned in course	9	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3	33%	44%	22%	0%	0%		
2	Would recommend course to others	9	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.1	4.2	44%	33%	22%	0%	0%		
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree	Did Not Read	None
3	Syllabus was informative guide	9	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4	33%	56%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%
								Always	Usually	Half time	Rarely	Never	Did Not Read	None
4	Assigned readings	9	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	33%	44%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree	None	
5	Exams reflected covered material	9	3.5	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4	0%	11%	11%	0%	0%	78%	
								Always	Usually	Half	Rarely	Never	None	
6	In-class technology helpful	9	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.4	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
								Always	Usually	Half	Rarely	Never	None	
7	Outside class technology helpful	9	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.5	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		
10	Would recommend instructor to others	9	4.2	4.9	4.6	4.3	4.3	33%	56%	11%	0%	0%		
								Early	On Time	Late				
11	Instructor started class	9	5	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	0%	100%	0%				
12	Instructor dismissed class	9	5	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.3	0%	100%	0%				

								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		
13	Instructor spoke clearly	9	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.4	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%		
14	Instructor maintained order	9	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.5	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%		
15	Instructor had command of material	9	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%		
								Too Slow	Slow	Right	Fast	Too Fast		
16	Pace material was covered	9	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	0%	22%	78%	0%	0%		
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		
17	Class time used effectively	9	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%		
19	Instructor stimulated interest	9	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4	56%	22%	22%	0%	0%		
20	Instructor ensured understanding of material	9	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.3	44%	56%	0%	0%	0%		
21	Instructor encouraged student questions	9	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4	44%	56%	0%	0%	0%		
								Always	Usually	Half	Rarely	Never		
22	Graded feedback was useful	9	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.3	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%		
								Always	Usually	Half	Rarely	Never	Did Not Seek	
23	Instructor available outside class	9	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.6	56%	22%	0%	0%	0%	22%	

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Use this space to comment on the instructor's use or non-use of technology.
	The use of technology was for readings and doing classwork which was a good use of technology.
	Fine
	Question: Final Comments: use this space to provide any additional comments about the course, the instructor or both. Please feel free to comment on areas such as: the instructor's engagement of student interest, the instructor's responsiveness to students, the syllabus, the readings, the exams, the assignments, the instructor's evaluation of your work, the online learning technology.

	Professor Kings class was probably my favorite I took this semester. I enjoyed coming to class each day and I had a fun time writing the papers for his class.
	Very helpful with understanding of writing and formatting and source citing with mla and apa
	The professor did a great job of including everyone's opinions and incorporating them into the class mater
	It was good
	Question: Use this space to comment on the instructor's teaching methods lecture notes, podcasts, discussion forums, group work, etc..
King	It was a little little bit slow paced for me but it was a good pace for other classmates so I cannot complain
King	Very good
King	The professor was great. My only complaint would be his grading policy. I appreciate what he is trying to accomplish with it but overall it is not fair to the students especially student-athletes.