

There were: 20 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	King Avg	ENG Avg	School Avg	SBU Avg	Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		
1	Student learned in course	9	5	4.73	4.37	4.32	4.34	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
2	Would recommend course	9	5	4.65	4.43	4.14	4.18	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree	Did Not Read	None
3	Syllabus was informative	9	4.89	4.58	4.50	4.38	4.39	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Always	Usually	Half Time	Rarely	Never	Did Not Read	None
4	Assigned readings	9	4.56	4.23	4.36	4.32	4.34	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree	None	
5	Exams reflected covered material	9	4.88	4.5	4.51	4.45	4.44	78%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	
								Always	Usually	Half Time	Rarely	Never	None	
6	In-class technology helpful	9	4.89	4.64	4.53	4.46	4.46	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
7	Outside class technology helpful	9	4.89	4.6	4.62	4.51	4.50	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		
10	Would recommend instructor (King)	9	4.89	4.85	4.62	4.37	4.38	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%		
								Early	On Time	Late				
11	Instructor started class (King)	9	5	5	4.73	4.77	4.80	0%	100%	0%				
12	Instructor dismissed class (King)	9	5	5	4.45	4.23	4.27	0%	100%	0%				
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		

13	Instructor spoke clearly (King)	9	5	4.73	4.63	4.50	4.49	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
14	Instructor maintained order (King)	9	5	4.73	4.62	4.58	4.59	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
15	Instructor had command of material (King)	9	5	4.73	4.66	4.62	4.63	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
								Too Slow	Slow	Right	Fast	Too Fast		
16	Pace material was covered (King)	9	5	4.92	4.78	4.59	4.59	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%		
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree		
17	Class time used effectively (King)	9	4.56	4.42	4.30	4.33	4.35	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%		
19	Instructor stimulated interest (King)	9	4.67	4.62	4.49	4.36	4.39	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%		
20	Instructor ensured understanding of material (King)	9	4.89	4.77	4.53	4.36	4.37	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%		
21	Instructor encouraged student questions (King)	9	4.89	4.69	4.54	4.46	4.47	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%		
								Always	Usually	Half	Rarely	Never		
22	Instructors graded feedback (King)	9	4.89	4.81	4.56	4.28	4.28	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%		
								Always	Usually	Half	Rarely	Never	Did Not Seek	
23	Instructor available after class (King)	9	5	4.83	4.66	4.60	4.61	89%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Use this space to comment on the instructor's use (or non-use) of technology.
	Awesome.
	Very good
	Dr. King using his own website instead of Moodle for the majority of the time was very useful and unique. It website was very easy to understand and having the day by day schedule was extremely helpful.

	Used computers for each lecture, well organized and uses his own website.
	Question: Final Comments: use this space to provide any additional comments about the course, the instructor or both. Please feel free to comment on areas such as: the instructor's engagement of student interest, the instructor's responsiveness to students, the syllabus, the readings, the exams, the assignments, the instructor's evaluation of your work, the online learning technology.
	Good class I liked it
	Awesome instructor. Always engaged in helping students become better writers. Always offered writing conferences via zoom.
	Would recommend King to anyone who isn't strong at writing truly learn a lot and begin to develop skills
	Question: Use this space to comment on the instructor's teaching methods lecture notes, podcasts, discussion forums, group work, etc..
King	I really think that the discussions with classmates about our papers really worked well.
King	Partner work, lecture, discussion forums
King	Very good
King	Discussion forums, lecture notes
King	Truly learned a lot, used his own website and incorporated Moodle at times